



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,946	03/11/2004	Vincent P. Walker	00216-656001 / Case 8126	8017
26161	7590	02/27/2007		
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022			MICHALSKI, SEAN M	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ed

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,946	WALKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sean M. Michalski	3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Sean M. Michalski. (3) Celia Leber.
 (2) Kenneth Peterson. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 86.

Identification of prior art discussed: Brown, Rozenkranc.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that the ammendments to the claims would most likely overcome the Brown reference, but should not be entered after-final, since the ammendments raise new issues. The possibility of a new rejection with Brown in view of Rozenkranc was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


KENNETH E. PETERSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required